



1 the Carder.su case. It is anticipated that if he testifies, it would be in response to  
2 or in contradiction of the Government witness' assertions, interpretations,  
3 explanations or conclusions regarding the Government's Electronically Stored  
4 Information (ESI) introduced as evidence against Georgievski at the trial.

5 *Id.* at 2. These disclosures indicate that each expert has conducted an "analysis and evaluation"  
6 of evidence, but they fail to set forth each expert's "opinions [and] the bases and reasons for  
7 those opinions" as required by Rule 16(b)(1)(C). The government is given nothing to help it  
8 prepare for cross-examination, and I am given nothing to help me determine whether these  
9 experts can satisfy the *Daubert* standard.

10 Exclusion of witnesses is not the preferred remedy for violations of a discovery rule.  
11 *United States v. Finley*, 301 F.3d 1000, 1018 (9th Cir. 2002). In assessing the appropriate  
12 sanction, I must consider the "decisive value" of the evidence, and whether "the omission was  
13 willful and motivated by a desire to obtain a tactical advantage." *Id.* (citations omitted). Here, it  
14 is unclear how decisive the experts' testimony would be because Georgievski has not disclosed  
15 what they would testify about. To the extent Georgievski's experts intend to contradict the  
16 government's experts (*see* ECF No. 1486 at 1-2), they likely can advise Georgievski's counsel  
17 how to cross-examine the government's experts, somewhat reducing the prejudice if they are  
18 excluded from testifying. Moreover, Georgievski's repeated failure to comply with this  
19 disclosure obligation appears tactical; I previously ruled that his disclosures were inadequate and  
20 he did nothing to remedy the defects. Nevertheless, I will give Georgievski one last chance to  
21 make proper expert disclosures. Georgievski shall serve revised expert disclosures regarding  
22 Mare and Oettinger by 5:00 p.m. PST on January 2, 2018.<sup>1</sup> Should those disclosures not satisfy  
23 Rule 16, Mare and Oettinger will not be permitted to testify at trial.

---

24 <sup>1</sup> While this is a short deadline and may require Georgievski and his counsel to work over  
25 the holiday, it is of their own doing. The trial was continued most recently in part because of  
26 Georgievski's improper expert disclosures. Georgievski has repeatedly stated he does not want  
another trial continuance, and further delay of disclosures further prejudices the government's  
ability to prepare for trial.

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the government's motion (ECF No. 1497) is  
2 **GRANTED IN PART.** Georgievski shall serve expert disclosures regarding Mare and  
3 Oettinger that comply with Rule 16 no later than **5:00 p.m. PST on January 2, 2018.** Should  
4 those disclosures not satisfy Rule 16, Mare and Oettinger will not be permitted to testify at trial.

5 DATED this 28th day of December, 2017.



6 ANDREW P. GORDON  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26